

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH (“JAY”) V. PATERNO, et al.,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs	:	
	:	
vs.	:	NO. 14-4365
	:	
THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY,	:	
Defendant	:	

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 2016, upon consideration of the defendant’s motion to dismiss (Document #14), the plaintiffs’ response thereto (Document #21), and the defendant’s reply brief (Document #22), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

1. Counts I and III are **DISMISSED** with prejudice.
2. Because I decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction, the remaining state law claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case **CLOSED** for all purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.